

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,037	12/10/2004	Kim Brogarrd Wiese	1175/73567/DSD	3595	
7	7590 09/28/2006		EXAMINER		
Cooper & Dunham			POPOVICS, ROBERT J		
1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			1724		
			DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006	DATE MAILED: 09/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				(
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/518,037	WIESE, KIM BROGARRD	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Robert J. Popovics	1724	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	lress
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL. 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5) □ 6) ⊠ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati 9) □ 10) □	Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-38 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or one pers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the oreginal or of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine The oath or d	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	` '
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	animor. Note the attached emice	Action of formal 1	7 102.
12)⊠ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>March 14, 2005</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ite	

Application/Control Number: 10/518,037 Page 2

Art Unit: 1724

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 1-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the combined teachings of Calloni (US 4,734,207) and Swanson (US 5,679,251). Calloni discloses the purification of oils. Calloni does not expressly disclose the use of a filtering media comprising organic fibers and carbon particles. The use of both organic (e.g., cellulose or paper) and carbon filters to purify oils is very well known. **Swanson** discloses an oil filter employing organic and carbon media – see the abstract. It would have been readily apparant to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the filter of Swanson in the system of Calloni in order to remove contaminants from the oil being processed. It is well known in the art to employ a vacuum to remove volatiles from liquids. Calloni acknowledges the need to degas at column 1, line 64-68. Accordingly. use of a vacuum degassing step to remove volatiles would have been obvious. At column 2, lines 55-60, cooling of the oil is taught. The balance of the claim limitations are submitted to be met by the system of the references as combined above, if not expressly, then inherently, or alternatively, they would have been obvious in view of that which is conventionally known in the art. With respect to claims 3-4, the use of plural filters in series to perfom prefiltration is notoriously well known in the art. The skilled artisan is credited with the intelligence neccessary to select filters commensurate with the particulate challenge encountered.

Art Unit: 1724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims **18-34** and **37** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

It is unclear how Applicants intend apparatus claim 18 to further limit process claim 1 from which it depends.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert J. Popovics at telephone number (571) 272-1164.

Robert James Popovics Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724